

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | 1 | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|-----------------|----------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 10/751,397 | | 01/06/2004 | Kazunari Matsuzawa | D-1550 | 3172 | | |
| 32628 | 7590 | 05/23/2006 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| | | NER AND PARTN | KYLE, MI | KYLE, MICHAEL J | | | |
| | | AGONAL RD 22314-2848 | | ART UNIT | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | , | | | 3677 | | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/22/2006 | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Applicant(s) | | |
|------------------|--|--|
| MATSUZAWA ET AL. | | |
| Art Unit | | |
| 3677 | | |
| | | |

| | Examine | AILOIIIL | |
|---|---|---|--|
| | Michael J. Kyle | 3677 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>08 May 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance provides: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (| idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| time periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | - | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejecti | on. |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | 06.07(f). | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | |
| a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | within the time period set forth in 3 | 7 CFR 41.37(a). | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | | | ecause |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | | TE below); | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be | | ducing or simplifying | the issues for |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally rej | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all | | timely filed amondme | ent cancoling the |
| non-allowable claim(s). | · | • | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | ll be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu | it before or on the date of filing a Ne | atice of Appeal will be | at he entered |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome all rejections under appear | al and/or appellant fai | ls to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ned. |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. | t does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowar | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N | lo(s) | |
| 13. | | | 7 |
| | CHI | ick Y. Mah ry Examiner | > |
| | Prima | ry Examiner | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that clamping element of Stocker corresponds to the engaging member of the instant application, as noted in the Final Office Action, and has a raised portion 72, but this portion does not correspond to the engaging step for engaging the elastic engaging piece. Examiner respectfully disagrees. The Final Office Action identifies element 16 as the engaging member, and elements 50 and 54 as the elastic engaging piece. Step 70, 72 engages the elastic engaging piece 50, 54 (see column 3, line 51 through column 4, line 24 of Stocker). Applicant argues that Stocker does not have an abutting portion at the middle of the damper main member, and one has not been identified. Examiner respectfully disagrees. The Final Office Action, at paragraph identifies the abutting portion as element 26 of Stocker. This element meets all claimed limitations of the abutting portion, as it abuts against the engaging member. It is further noted, then when Stocker and Ichamaru are combined, the threaded portion of 16 and collar of 31 may be incorporated into Stocker. With this, the height of the damper member is adjustable.